

NEW PERSPECTIVES IN GERMAN POLITICAL STUDIES

PEGIDA AND NEW RIGHT-WING POPULISM IN GERMANY

Hans Vorländer, Maik Herold, Steven Schaller



New Perspectives in German Political Studies

Series Editors

William E. Paterson
Aston University
Birmingham, UK

Thomas Saalfeld
Universität Bamberg
Bamberg, Germany

Far reaching changes are now taking place in Germany. Stability lay at the core of the German model and much of the writing from Peter Katzenstein and Manfred Schmidt onwards sought to explain this enviable stability. Changes in the external environment have created a number of fundamental challenges which pose a threat to that stability. Germany is now Europe's central power but this has generated controversy about how it is to exercise this new power. Although attention is often centred on German power the migration crisis demonstrates its limits. *New Perspectives in German Political Studies* aims to engage with these new challenges and to cater for the heightened interest in Germany. The Editors would welcome proposals for single-authored monographs, edited collections and Pivots, from junior as well as well-established scholars working on contemporary German Politics.

More information about this series at
<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/14735>

Hans Vorländer • Maik Herold
Steven Schaller

PEGIDA and New Right-Wing Populism in Germany

palgrave
macmillan

Hans Vorländer
TU Dresden
Dresden, Germany

Maik Herold
TU Dresden
Dresden, Germany

Steven Schäller
TU Dresden
Dresden, Germany

New Perspectives in German Political Studies
ISBN 978-3-319-67494-0 ISBN 978-3-319-67495-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67495-7>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017958038

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016, 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover illustration: dpa picture alliance / Alamy Stock Photo

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

PREFACE

This book was written in the context of a research project financed by the Fritz Thyssen Foundation. We would like to thank the director, Dr. Frank Suder, and the responsible coordinator, Dr. Thomas Suermann for the generous support.

There were also others involved in bringing about this book. Dennis Norton translated the German manuscript into English with care and diligence, Ambra Finotello and Imogen Gordon Clark of Palgrave Macmillan patiently supported us throughout and, finally, Marissa Weigle also made a valuable contribution in the form of literary research in Dresden. Our sincere gratitude to them all.

Dresden, Germany

Hans Vorländer
Maik Herold
Steven Schaller

CONTENTS

1	The Development of PEGIDA: From a Movement of the Outraged to a Protest Ritual	1
2	Dealing with PEGIDA: Between Demarcation and Dialogue	31
3	PEGIDA in the Context of German Right-wing Populism	51
4	The PEGIDA Demonstrators: Characteristics and Motivations	73
5	Right-wing Populist Attitudes at PEGIDA: Findings and Interpretations	99
6	Right-Wing Populism in Germany: Classification and Explanation	169
7	PEGIDA as Part of Right-Wing Populism in Germany and Europe	195
	Index	205

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Numbers of participants at PEGIDA and NoPEGIDA events in Dresden	5
Fig. 1.2	One of the many offshoots, PEGIDA UK, with its spokesperson Tommy Robinson giving a speech at a rally in Birmingham on 6.2.2016	6
Fig. 1.3	Wirmer flags that have become iconic for the PEGIDA protests, seen at a rally on 31.10.2016 in Dresden	23
Fig. 2.1	Flag waving demonstrators in front of the nightly scenery of baroque Dresden, 22.12.2014	32
Fig. 3.1	Overview of the electoral successes of the AfD between August 2014 and September 2017	53
Fig. 4.1	Overview: Empirical studies of PEGIDA in Dresden (Winter 2014/2015)	77
Fig. 4.2	The PEGIDA demonstrators in Dresden: Socio-demographic characteristics	79
Fig. 4.3	Findings regarding the employment situation of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	80
Fig. 4.4	Findings on the income of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	81
Fig. 4.5	Findings on the education level of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	82
Fig. 4.6	Concerns about immigration according to party preference 2014	84
Fig. 4.7	Income structure based on party preference 2015	85
Fig. 4.8	Change in age structure of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators in 2015	86

Fig. 4.9	Findings about the motivation of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators: groups of responses	88
Fig. 4.10	Findings regarding the motivation of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators: response topics	89
Fig. 4.11	Findings on the voting behaviour of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	91
Fig. 4.12	Findings on the feelings of attachment to a party among the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	92
Fig. 4.13	Findings about the political self-assessment of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	93
Fig. 4.14	Findings about the willingness to welcome refugees among PEGIDA demonstrators	95
Fig. 5.1	Agreement with Islamophobic statements in the German population 2009–2016	103
Fig. 5.2	Islamophobia and attitudes critical of Islam in eastern and western Germany	104
Fig. 5.3	Mention of the topic of Islam when giving reasons for participation in PEGIDA	107
Fig. 5.4	Islamophobia in Germany and among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators in comparison	108
Fig. 5.5	Disagreement with the assertion “Islam belongs in Germany”	109
Fig. 5.6	Islamophobia among PEGIDA participants in winter 2015/2016	110
Fig. 5.7	Patterns of manifestly right-wing extremist attitudes in Germany 2016	113
Fig. 5.8	Manifestly right-wing extremist attitudes over time 2002–2016	114
Fig. 5.9	Right-wing extremist attitude patterns in Germany and among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators in comparison	116
Fig. 5.10	Politically motivated right-wing violence 2014–2015	119
Fig. 5.11	Politically motivated left-wing violence 2014–2015	120
Fig. 5.12	Average rates of agreement with xenophobic statements in Germany (GGSS 2000–2012)	121
Fig. 5.13	Rates of agreement with xenophobic statements according to <i>Bundesland</i>	122
Fig. 5.14	Xenophobic attitudes in Dresden, Düsseldorf and Hamburg in comparison (rates of agreement as a percentage)	123
Fig. 5.15	Xenophobic feelings of resentment among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	124
Fig. 5.16	Derogation of asylum seekers in Germany and among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators in comparison	125

Fig. 5.17	Rates of agreement with statements about the topic “Immigration and asylum” among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	126
Fig. 5.18	Concerns of the PEGIDA demonstrators related to the influx of refugees	128
Fig. 5.19	Support for ethnocentrism and NS-ideology in the population of Thuringia 2001–2016	131
Fig. 5.20	Agreement with statements in the topic areas of “xenophobia” and “nationalism/chauvinism” according to <i>Bundesland</i>	132
Fig. 5.21	Agreement with statements from the categories Antisemitism, Trivialisation of National Socialism, Endorsement of a right-wing authoritarian dictatorship and Social Darwinism according to <i>Bundesland</i>	133
Fig. 5.22	Agreement among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators with statements about “Patriotism”	135
Fig. 5.23	Support for democracy in eastern and western Germany	139
Fig. 5.24	Preferences for direct and representative democracy models in eastern and western Germany	140
Fig. 5.25	Satisfaction with democracy among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	141
Fig. 5.26	Criticism of policy and feelings of resentment in the area of immigration, integration and asylum policy among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators by age group	145
Fig. 5.27	Criticism of policy and feelings of resentment in the area of immigration, integration and asylum policy among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators according to income group	146
Fig. 5.28	Criticism of the media and public discourse among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators according to income group	148
Fig. 5.29	Criticism of the media and public discourse among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators according to educational qualification	149
Fig. 5.30	Appraisal of the media coverage of PEGIDA	150
Fig. 5.31	Agreement in the German population with the PEGIDA accusation of the lying press	150
Fig. 5.32	Attitudes critical of the elite in the German population	157
Fig. 5.33	Feelings of political alienation among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators	158
Fig. 5.34	Feeling of political alienation among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators according to age group	159
Fig. 5.35	Feelings of political alienation among Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators according to income group	160

Fig. 6.1	Agreement with ethnocentric and neo-National Socialist statements in Saxony in comparison	172
Fig. 6.2	Attitudes towards immigrants in Germany by state	174
Fig. 6.3	Change in the reputation of Dresden due to PEGIDA	182

INTRODUCTION

A development that had already taken place in Europe since the 1980s also began to emerge in Germany in autumn 2014. Even before the refugee and migration crisis in summer 2015 a protest movement entitled Patriotic Europeans against the Islamisation of the Occident (PEGIDA) had formed on streets and squares. Despite having started as a small group of friends it immediately developed a remarkable dynamic, mobilising thousands of participants. The PEGIDA protests rapidly received media attention, well beyond Dresden, Germany and even Europe. The rallies of protesting citizens chanting shrill slogans were met by strong reactions from those in politics, the media and counter-demonstrators. The pictures of a flag-waving crowd, demonstrating in the darkness and chanting offensive slogans were seen around the world and gave rise to fears that, in a kind of catch-up alignment with other western democracies, right-wing populism was now also able to develop political momentum in Germany.

Although PEGIDA originated in Dresden, and it was also there that it drew the most participants at its weekly demonstrations, the protest movement nevertheless regarded itself as the nucleus of a larger German and European trend. PEGIDA offshoots arose in many German cities, in other European countries and even in Australia. However, in the end they remained insignificant and did not have a lasting impact. And yet, the movement was paradigmatic for a process of political outrage, polarisation and disinhibition. PEGIDA showed how the dynamics of the mobilisation of anger and outrage could unfold, be used for political purposes, and how harsh criticism from politicians and the media can contribute to creating a spiral of mutual escalation. This escalation promoted a division of civil

society, furthered the creation of counter-publics on social networks and acted as an accelerant for the protest movement itself. At PEGIDA's rallies communicative power was gained by the purposeful occupation of public spaces. Performative techniques of symbolic staging established rituals that created loyalty and the feeling of belonging to a large community of like-minded people. Here, in a locally concentrated form, PEGIDA made visible the ferment in society, from which a clearly defined potential of support for right-wing populist politics arose throughout Germany—a potential that was later absorbed and converted into electoral successes by the party Alternative for Germany (AfD).

This was in spite of the fact that PEGIDA and the AfD initially had little in common. It was not until the events of 2015 that there were recognisable similar intentions, which were primarily defined by the resistance to “mass migration”. In the informal alliance of PEGIDA and AfD, of street and parliament, movement and party, a right-wing populist force took shape which found common ground with the rejection of immigration, mistrust of the religion of Islam, fundamental criticism of the political and media elite, the dissatisfaction with liberal and representative democracy and the fear of heteronomy. As in other western democracies, new right-wing populism in Germany also came onto the scene, seeking to radically change society through the reactivation and redefinition of central linguistic themes, the assertion of cultural and national identity, the reclamation of a patriotic and ethnic nationalism, the restitution of sovereign statehood and the implementation of plebiscitary democracy.

PEGIDA marked the public appearance of a new type of right-wing populist movement of indignation, which did not articulate a specific protest, but instead staged a diffuse outcry against everything that could be linked with “official” politics and media. The movement's Islamophobic and xenophobic thrust as well as its mobilisation of ethnocentric and national-conservative sentiments made PEGIDA a gathering point for the situationally outraged, the politically alienated and activists from the extreme and the New Right. In the end, PEGIDA achieved little, but it changed a lot: resentment became socially acceptable. The political discourse in Germany became coarser, the protest eventually lost its inhibitions, the lines between rhetorical and physical violence became brittle.

From a contemporary historical perspective this book brings together diverse observations of PEGIDA, of the civic actions to counter it and of the mediating dialogue events, and furthermore the findings and insights

gained through the reading of hundreds of letters and e-mails as well as through countless conversations. For a more comprehensive systematic analysis we also come back to our own survey of the Dresden PEGIDA demonstrators, which was the very first empirical study of the movement, and compare its findings with those of numerous other studies. In addition, incorporated into this book are our own observations of the demonstrations, an evaluation of the coverage about PEGIDA in the national and international media, the communication observed on social networks as well as the results of the participatory observation of dialogue rounds between politicians and PEGIDA supporters. Additionally, background talks were conducted with former members of the organisational team involved with the protest events and with actors associated with these events.

In this book the development, structure and effect of PEGIDA are described and the existing empirical findings are brought together with established explanations from research into right-wing extremism and populism as well as more extensive interpretive approaches. First, in Chap. 1 there is a description of the emergence and development of PEGIDA, the contents of its demands and positions, as well as the forms its protest took on the streets and on social media. Subsequently, Chap. 2 addresses the public reactions to the demonstrations, which were characterised above all by strong condemnation. PEGIDA used these reactions in order to present itself as the victim of political and media elites and attempted to provoke them with increasingly radical slogans. The dynamic of alternating escalation that resulted gave the demonstrations media attention and high participation numbers. The relationship between PEGIDA and the existing parties on the right-wing fringe as well as New Right actors in Germany is looked at in Chap. 3, which also describes PEGIDA's contacts with other right-wing populist forces in Europe. Then, in Chap. 4, existing findings on the socio-demographic characteristics, the motivations and the political preferences of the PEGIDA demonstrators are presented and compared with insights into support for the political parties in Germany. Chapter 5 follows on from this with further findings about the political views of PEGIDA supporters and puts them in an interpretative context with research on Islamophobia, right-wing extremism, xenophobia, ethnocentrism, criticism of democracy and populism in Germany. Chapter 6 then brings together the insights gained using the most important explanatory approaches, which trace the new right-wing populism back to recent

developments in Germany, to transformations of representative democracy, but also to global economic and social developments. Finally, Chap. 7 summarises the PEGIDA phenomenon: it remains to be seen whether PEGIDA has marked the beginning of the long-term establishment of right-wing populist positions and parties in the democratic system of the Federal Republic of Germany.